

## Against Censorship and Its Academic Supporters

No one should prize the free exchange of ideas more than academics, whose entire purpose is to develop, challenge, and improve ideas. Endorsing state control of public discourse through the censorship of political opponents should be anathema to any defender of democracy. We are thus dismayed by the public letter “[Against Big Tech’s Attack on Digital Sovereignities](#),” signed by many notable academics, including Daron Acemoglu and Thomas Piketty.

Although the letter mentions “Big Techs” in general, it singles out Elon Musk’s X as an “instance in a wider effort to restrict” Brazil’s (and other nations’) “digital developmental agenda”. We attempt to understand what this means, but first it is worth reviewing the facts.

Brazil’s law establishes that any judicial order to remove content from a social platform must specify what content is to be removed ([Law 12.965, Art. 19, §1](#)). The law also [affirms](#) the constitutional protection of free speech ([Art. 5, IV, IX](#), and [Art. 220 §2](#)). Nevertheless, Justice Alexandre de Moraes [ordered](#) the suspension of the accounts of influencers, journalists and even members of Congress, all of whom were critics of the current president. X complied with these orders until [April 2024](#), when Elon Musk [stated](#) this would violate laws in Brazil. Moraes’s [threats](#) of fines and the jailing of X’s legal representative lead X to [close](#) its office in Brazil. Moraes [ordered](#) the suspension of X for all Brazilians along with the [seizure](#) of Starlink’s assets to cover the fines he imposed. Note that Starlink is a separate company, with no connection to X besides the fact that Elon Musk is a shareholder in both. A [report](#) by the U.S. Congress found that “Moraes ordered the censorship of a Brazilian citizen for criticizing Moraes for censoring Brazilians” (p. 5).

However, instead of siding with X, the law, and Brazilians’ freedom of expression, the academics’ letter condemns Elon Musk for providing the only digital platform in Brazil that refused to censor speech deemed undesirable by some public officials. It seems the signatories believe that governments should be able to decide what their citizens can and cannot hear, and use all their might to silence criticism — essentially endorsing authoritarianism.

The letter portrays X as if it somehow controls the flow of information in Brazil, rather than being just one of many platforms through which Brazilians access information. It also links X to the incitement of the acts of January 8, 2023, and suggests that its suspension is motivated by its refusal to block accounts involved in this instigation. However, as previously mentioned, X did not refuse to comply with any orders prior to April 2024.

Introducing the unfamiliar concept of “digital sovereignty”, the letter demands that “Big Tech companies cease their attempts to sabotage” Brazil’s “digital agenda”, which they urge the government to implement. It is unclear what this agenda is, but it appears to be a rehash of old industrial policy ideas, which usually create inefficiencies and losses for companies and consumers, while generating significant profits for well-connected businessmen. Even if this outcome does not materialize, there is a greater danger today: the possibility that the government is able to silence opposition, paving the way for an authoritarian regime.

On one point, however, we must admit some agreement with the signatories of the letter: there is indeed a danger that Big Tech companies could cooperate to undermine democracy. In fact, many of them appear to be working with governments to suppress viewpoints they find unfavorable. Brazil serves as a notable example, but similar dynamics have been observed in the U.S. as well, with many public figures expressing support for speech control. The only platform that attempted to resist this pressure and defend freedom of expression was X. The world owes Elon Musk gratitude for safeguarding this fundamental right and maintaining X as a space where all voices can be heard. In doing so, he is arguably protecting democracy — even from those academics who seem undisturbed by authoritarianism, as long as it aligns with their preferred political ideology.

In summary, we uphold free speech and are committed to maintaining a free marketplace of ideas where the exchange of thoughts is not suppressed, regardless of whether they are deemed offensive, unwise, immoral, or disagreeable by some. Only the vigorous debate on all ideas can lead to informed judgments and, consequently, true progress.

*The affiliations of the signatories below are listed for identification only and do not imply endorsement by the institution. The names appear in the approximate order in which they signed.*

If you want to sign this letter, send an email to [againstcensorship2024@proton.me](mailto:againstcensorship2024@proton.me)

Luciano de Castro, Professor, University of Iowa

Joshua Rauh, Professor, Stanford University

Harald Uhlig, Professor, University of Chicago

Iván Marinovic, Professor, Stanford University

Zachary Patterson, Professor, Concordia University

Daniel Bonevac, Professor, University of Texas at Austin

Carlos Carvalho, Professor, University of Texas at Austin

Rodrigo Peñaloza, Universidade de Brasília

Gad Saad, Concordia University and Northwood University  
Adam Kolasinski, Professor, Texas A&M University  
Vernon Smith, Professor, Chapman University, Nobel Prize in Economics  
Scott Yenor, Professor, Boise State University  
Todd Zywicki, Professor, Scalia School of Law, George Mason University  
Sergiu Klainerman, Professor, Princeton University  
Antonio Galvao, Professor, Michigan State University  
Rabah Amir, Professor, University of Iowa  
Luiz Lima, Professor, University of Tennessee  
Wilfred Reilly, Professor, Kentucky State University  
Bryan Caplan, Professor, George Mason University  
William Harper, Professor, Princeton University  
Richard Lindzen, Professor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)  
Cesar Martinelli, Professor, George Mason University  
Dorian Abbot, Professor, University of Chicago  
Pedro Domingos, Professor Emeritus, University of Washington  
Nabil Al-Najjar, Professor, Northwestern University  
Alvaro Sandroni, Professor, Northwestern University  
Nicholas Hallman, Associate Professor, University of Texas at Austin  
Glenn Ricketts, National Association of Scholars  
Daniel Klein, Professor, George Mason University  
Joshua Hendrickson, Professor, University of Mississippi  
Roger Koppl, Professor, Syracuse University  
Mario Rizzo, Professor, Nee York University  
Donald Boudreaux, Professor, George Mason University  
Vincent Geloso, Assistant Professor, George Mason University  
David Henderson, Research Fellow, Hoover Institution at Stanford University  
Nicholas Yannelis, Professor Emeritus, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
Thomas Rustici, Associate Professor, George Mason University

Adilson Dallari, Professor, Pontificia Universidade Católica de São Paulo (PUC-SP)

Heitor Almeida, Professor, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Will Fithian, Associate Professor, University of California, Berkeley